

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,859	04/27/2005		Carlos Portasany Sanchez	P/189-362	4887
2352	7590	11/16/2006		EXAMINER	
00111022		ER GERB & SOFF	KARIKARI, KWASI		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1,2,, 1,014	-,			2617	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/522,859	PORTASANY SANCHEZ, CARLOS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kwasi Karikari	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MADE THE PROPERTY OF THE MADE THE PROPERTY OF THE MADE THE PROPERTY OF THE MADE THE THE MADE TH	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a runication.  tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) file     2a) ⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2     3) □ Since this application is in condition so closed in accordance with the practice.	b) This action is non-final.  for allowance except for formal matt					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeya the correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 09/01/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's outstanding argument, with regard to claims 1 and 9, that Nachef et al. (U.S. 20050207562 A1), (hereinafter Nachef) fails to teach certain claimed limitations (page 4 of applicant's remarks), the examiner has noticed that Nachef reference is recited, in the Office Action, only to discuss the applicant's claimed features "an instruction and a requested reference for said array" in claims 1 and 9. Nachef, indeed, teaches the claimed limitations "an instruction and a requested reference for said array" (see Nachef, Pars. [0006,0041-44 and 0080-0114]; whereby the interactive display and proactive command are equated with "instruction" and the "requested reference" is equated with the actual act of addition, deleting or modifying of file).

Moreover, the examiner maintains that Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) teaches the remaining claimed limitations in claims 1 and 9 (see AAPA, background of invention, Pages 1-5). In view of the above, the rejections using AAPA and Nachef are proper and maintained as set forth below. These rejections are made FINAL.

Art Unit: 2617

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter AAPA) in view of Nachef et al. (U.S. 20050207562 A1), (hereinafter Nachef)

Regarding **claims 1 and 9**, AAPA discloses a mobile telephone device (see Page 1, lines 20-31), comprising:

an integrated circuit card with a subscriber identity module or a universal subscriber identity module, said card comprising a storage operable for storing at least one application (see Figure 1 and items 3A, 4A);

a device operable for remote access management of the card based on remote access message reception by mobile telephony (see Page 4, lines 12-35);

at least one data array manager (file manager, 2F) module for managing data arrays of at least one application stored in the card, said at least one data array manager (file manager) module comprising:

a receiver operable by a remote access message for receiving at least one instruction for operating on at least one piece of data contained in an array of a specified application (Figure 1, item 4A); an accessing device operable for accessing

Art Unit: 2617

011/0011(1011(1011)DC1: 10/022,00

said array said accessing device further comprising a receiver operable for receiving from the specified application; the accessing device being operable for accessing said array; and apparatus operable for performing at least one operation (written,read or manipulate, see Page 4, lines 12-35); on said at least one piece of data (Figure 1, item 4D) in said array; but fails to teach an instruction and a requested reference for said array.

Nachef teaches that the card 2 can perform administration operations such as addition 201, deletion 202 and modification 203 followed by an interactive display on the screen; and proactive command (see Pars. [0006,0041-44 and 0080-0114]; whereby the interactive display and proactive command are equated with "instruction" and the "requested reference" is equated with the actual act of addition, deleting or modifying of file).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef into the system of AAPA for the benefit of achieving a system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

Regarding **claim 2**, as recited in claim 1, Nachef's further teaching of deletion and modification operation on data or applets present in the Sim card (see Abstract), meets the claimed limitations of claim 2.

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef into the system of AAPA for the benefit of achieving a

Art Unit: 2617

system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an

administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

Regarding claim 3, as recited in claim 1, AAPA discloses that said specified application

is a SIM Application Toolkit or a Universal SIM Application Toolkit application (Toolkit

application 3A and 4A, see Page 4, lines 12-35).

Regarding claim 4, as recited in claim 1, Nachef's further teaching of deletion and

modification operation on data or applets present in the Sim card (see Abstract), meets

the claimed limitations of claim 4.

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to

combine the teaching of Nachef into the system of AAPA for the benefit of achieving a

system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an

administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

Regarding claim 5, as recited in claim 1, Nachef's further teaching of deletion and

modification operation on data or applets present in the Sim card (see Abstract), meets

the claimed limitations of claim 5.

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to

combine the teaching of Nachef into the system of AAPA for the benefit of achieving a

system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an

administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claim 6**, as recited in claim 5, Nachef's further discloses the device, wherein said data array manager module is an Application Programming Interface (loader program consist of an applet programmed in JAVA, see Pars. [0040 and 0068]).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef into the system of AAPA for the benefit of achieving a system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

Regarding **claim 7**, as recited in claim 1, Nachef's further discloses the device, wherein said device operable for remote access management is based on a GSM 03.48 standard or on a 3GPP 23.048 standard (see Par. [0019]).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef into the system of AAPA for the benefit of achieving a system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

Regarding **claim 8**, as recited in claim 3, AAPA further discloses that the device comprising a terminal supporting said Subcribe Identity Module (SIM) Application Toolkit or said Universal SIM Application Toolkit and also at least one of a supporting Data Download, and a class "e" terminal supporting the SIM Toolkit commands for channel management (see Page 4, lines 12-35).

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claim 10**, as recited in claim 9, Nachef's further teaching of deletion and modification operation on data or applets present in the Sim card (see Abstract), meets the claimed limitations of claim 10.

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef into the system of AAPA for the benefit of achieving a system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

Regarding **claim 11**, as recited in claim 9, AAPA further discloses receiving said message in a terminal of subscriber equipment; sending said message from said terminal to the card; forwarding the instruction via a remote access manager module in the card to a data array manager module identified in the message (OTA messages are received in the subscriber equipment and transmitted to the card, where the remote access manager takes charge of performing the appropriate operation, see Page 4, lines 21-24).

Regarding **claim 12**, as recited in claim 11, Nachef's further discloses that the message is of the Data Download type (see Pars. [0040-0044]).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef into the system of AAPA for the benefit of achieving a system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

Art Unit: 2617

4 Claims 13 and 15 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in view of Nachef and further in view of Nachef (U.S. 20020137545 A1), (hereinafter Nachef II).

Regarding claim 13, as recited in claim 12, the combination of AAPA and Nachef fail to teach sending of said message to the card by an ENVELOPE command.

Nachef II teaches sending of said message to the card by an ENVELOPE command (see Par. [0068]).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef II into the system of AAPA and Nachef for the benefit of achieving an increased SIM card Toolkit applications via SIM smart card, thereby increasing processing speed (see Par. [0047]).

Regarding **claim 15**, as recited in claim 13, Nachef further discloses the method, wherein the instruction is forwarded to a data array manager module identified by a Toolkit Application Reference field of the message (see Par. [0063]).

5. Claim 14 is rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in view of Nachef and further in view of Arques et al. (U.S. 20040131083 A1), (hereinafter Arques).

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claim 14**, as recited in claim 11, the combination of AAPA and Nachef fail to teach, wherein the message is sent to the card through a Bearer Independent Protocolbased channel.

Arques teaches wherein the message is sent to the card through a Bearer Independent Protocol-based channel (see Par. [0008]).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Arques into the system of AAPA and Nachef for the benefit of achieving a method for transmitting data in non-connected mode (see Par. [0018]).

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ritter (U.S. 6,914,154) teaches a telecommunication method.

Micheal et al. (U.S. 20050026635 A1) teaches a telecommunication system.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2617

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kwasi Karikari whose telephone number is 571-272-8566. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8 am - 4pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8566.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner.